



Taxonomy & Inventories

New record and diet of the endangered Mau Son Spiny Frog (*Quasipaa acanthophora* Dubois and Ohler, 2009) in Vietnam

Vien Hong Thi Nguyen^{‡,§}, Anh Van Pham[§], Truong Quang Nguyen^{||}, Tung Thanh Tran[#], Thomas Ziegler^{¤,«}, Cuong The Pham^{||}

[‡] Faculty of Resources and Environment, University of Sciences, Thai Nguyen University, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

[§] Faculty of Environmental Sciences, University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam

[|] Institute of Biology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

^{||} Graduate University of Science and Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

[#] Vinh Phuc College, Vinh Phuc, Vietnam

[¤] Cologne Zoo, Cologne, Germany

[«] Institute of Zoology, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Corresponding author: Cuong The Pham (cuongiebr@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Bin Wang

Received: 28 Mar 2025 | Accepted: 18 Apr 2025 | Published: 29 Apr 2025

Citation: Nguyen VT, Pham A, Nguyen T, Tran T, Ziegler T, Pham C (2025) New record and diet of the endangered Mau Son Spiny Frog (*Quasipaa acanthophora* Dubois and Ohler, 2009) in Vietnam. Biodiversity Data Journal 13: e154204. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.13.e154204>

Abstract

Background

The Mau Son Spiny Frog *Quasipaa acanthophora* was originally described from Mau Son Mountain, Lang Son Province in 2009 and subsequently recorded in Bac Giang and Quang Ninh Provinces of Vietnam. The species was listed as Vulnerable in the IUCN Red List 2017 and as Endangered in the Vietnam Red Data Book 2024. However, knowledge about diet ecology of the species is virtually lacking.

New information

Based on recent fieldwork in northern Vietnam, we herein report a new population of *Quasipaa acanthophora* from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve in Quang Ninh Province. In addition, we provide data on the diet of *Q. acanthophora*, based on stomach content analyses of 38 individuals (10 males, 17 females, 11 subadults). A total of 27 prey categories containing 446 items were found in the stomachs of *Q. acanthophora*. The dominant prey items of the species were Blattodea, Coleoptera (Other Coleoptera), Orthoptera (Gryllidae), Decapoda and Hymenoptera (Formicidae). The most important (IRI) groups amongst the prey of *Q. acanthophora* were Blattidae (32.67%), followed by Gryllidae (9.11%), other Coleoptera (6.57%), Decapoda (5.72%) and Formicidae (5.61%).

Keywords

Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, invertebrates, northern Vietnam, prey items, stomach contents

Introduction

The genus *Quasipaa* Dubois, 1992 currently contains 13 recognised species with a restricted distribution in Asia, known from China through the Indochina Region and southwards to Thailand (Frost 2025). In Vietnam, six species were recognised, viz. *Quasipaa acanthophora* Dubois and Ohler, 2009, *Q. boulengeri* (Günther, 1889), *Q. delacouri* (Angel 1928), *Q. spinosa* (David, 1875), *Q. taoi* Pham, Hoang, Phan, Nguyen & Ziegler, 2022 and *Q. verrucospinosa* (Bourret 1937) (Frost 2025), of which, the Mau Son Spiny Frog *Quasipaa acanthophora* is currently known only from northern Vietnam (Frost 2025). The species was described from Mau Son Mountain, Lang Son Province and subsequently recorded in Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve of Bac Giang Province and Hai Ha District of Quang Ninh Province (Hecht et al. 2013, Pham et al. 2020, Frost 2025). The species was evaluated as Vulnerable (VU) in the IUCN Red List (IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group 2017) and listed as Endangered (EN) in the Vietnam Red Data Book 2024 (Pham and Nguyen 2024) due to ongoing over-harvest for food consumption and decline in the extent and quality of its habitat. In terms of natural history, the species is found along rocky streams in evergreen forests. Dietary ecology of the endangered species remains unknown. In this study, we record a new population of *Q. acanthophora* from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve in Quang Ninh Province and provide the first data on diet composition of this species on the basis of stomach content analysis of frogs collected in Lang Son, Bac Giang and Quang Ninh Provinces, northern Vietnam.

Materials and methods

Sampling

Field surveys were conducted at three sites in north-eastern Vietnam: (1) Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve, Bac Giang Province (21°09' – 21°23'N, 106°38' – 107°02'E, elevations: 350–800 m a.s.l.) between 2015 and 2024 (five males, 12 females, eight subadults); (2) Mau Son Mountain, Lang Son Province (21°48' – 21°52'N, 106°54' – 107°01'E, elevations: 700–1000 m a.s.l.) in 2018, 2024 (four males, four females, two submales) and (3) Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province (21°05' – 21°12'N, 106°56' – 107°13'E, elevations: 150–700 m a.s.l.) in 2024, 2025 (one male, one female, one subadult). The surrounding habitat consisted of evergreen forest with a mix of larger, medium and small hardwoods, bamboo and shrubs.

Frogs were captured by hand in streams between 19:00 h and 23:00 h following the guidelines approved by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists for animal care (Beaupre et al. 2004). A stomach-flushing technique was applied to obtain stomach contents without sacrificing them (Griffiths 1986, Leclerc and Courtois 1993, Solé et al. 2005). Prey items were preserved in 70% ethanol. Frogs were subsequently released at the collecting site after measurements of snout-vent length (SVL), head length (HL), head width (HW) and mouth width (MW) with a digital caliper to the nearest 0.1 mm, taken and measured weight (BM) using electronic scales to the nearest 0.1 g.

Species identification

For taxonomic identification, two individuals were collected for voucher specimens. After having been photographed in life, frogs were anaesthetised and euthanised in a closed vessel with a piece of cotton wool containing ethyl acetate (Simmons 2002), fixed in 85% ethanol and subsequently stored in 70% ethanol. Measurements were taken with a digital calliper to the nearest 0.1 mm. Abbreviations are as follows: a.s.l.: above sea level; terminology of morphological characters followed Pham et al. (2022): snout-vent length (from tip of snout to cloaca) (SVL); head length (a parallel line with the vertebral column from posterior margin of mandible to tip of snout) (HL); maximum head width (at rictus) (HW); rostral length (from anterior corner of orbit to tip of snout) (RL); distance from nostril to tip of snout (NS); distance from anterior corner of orbit to nostril (EN); internarial distance (distance between nostrils) (IND); interorbital distance (IOD); eye diameter (ED); maximum width of upper eyelid (UEW); distance between anterior margins of orbits (DAE); distance between posterior margins of orbits (DPE); distance from posterior margin of mandible to nostril (MN); distance from posterior margin of mandible to anterior margin of orbit (MFE); distance from posterior margin of mandible to posterior margin of eye (MBE); upper arm length (from axilla to elbow) (UAL); fore-arm length (from elbow to tip of third finger) (FAL); femur length (from vent to knee) (FeL); tibia length (from knee to tarsus) (TbL); maximum tibia width (TbW); foot length (from tarsus to tip of fourth toe) (TbW); inner metatarsal tubercle length (IMT). For webbing formula, we followed Glaw and Vences (2007). Sex was determined by secondary sexual characters and gonadal inspection.

Determination of species was based on morphology following Dubois and Ohler (2009) and Pham et al. (2020). We also sequenced two samples of *Quasipaa acanthophora* collected from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province. We used the protocols of Pham et al. (2022) for DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing. A fragment of 16S rRNA gene, approximately 570 bp was amplified and sequenced using a primer pair LR-N-13398 (5'-CGCCTGTTTACCAAAAACAT-3'; forward) and LR-J 12887 (5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3'; reverse) (Simon et al. 1994). Sequences were compared with those from GenBank using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) searches.

Stomach content analysis

Prey items were identified under a microscope (Olympus SZX7), based on identification keys (i.e. Naumann et al. (1991), Thai (2003), Johnson and Triplehorn (2005), Brusca et al. (2016)). The maximum length (L) and width (W) of each prey item were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm using either a calliper or a calibrated ocular micrometre fitted to a microscope. Body parts of the same individual were assembled before taking measurements, otherwise incomplete-bodied prey was measured separately. The volume (V) of prey item was calculated using the formula for a prolate spheroid ($\pi = 3.14$; Pham et al. (2022)): $V = 4\pi/3 * (L/2) * (W/2)^2$.

To evaluate the relative importance of each prey category, we calculated the following three indices: %F, the frequency of occurrence (the percentage of stomachs containing specific prey categories amongst stomachs containing prey categories); %N, the relative number (the percentage of a specific prey categories amongst the number of the bulk of prey categories); and %V, the relative volume (the percentage of the volume of a specific prey categories amongst the volume of the bulk of prey categories (Nakamura and Tominaga 2021)).

The index of relative importance (IRI) was used to determine the importance of each food category. This index provides a more informed estimation of prey item consumption than any of the three components alone by using the following formula (Caldart et al. 2012): $IRI = (\%F + \%N + \%V)/3$,

where F is the frequency of prey occurrence in stomachs and N is the total number of prey items concerning all prey items. Statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) and with the significance level set to $p < 0.05$ for all analyses. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise noted. We used Kendall's tau b statistics to examine the relationship between SVL and the prey volume. In addition, we also used Pearson's correlations between the morphological measurements.

Taxon treatment

Quasipaa acanthophora Dubois and Ohler, 2009

Materials

- a. scientificName: *Quasipaa acanthophora*; class: Amphibia; order: Anura; family: Dicroglossidae; genus: *Quasipaa*; specificEpithet: *acanthophora*; scientificNameAuthorship: Dubois and Ohler, 2009; country: Vietnam; countryCode: VN; stateProvince: Quang Ninh; locality: Dong Son-Ky Thuong Nature Reserve; verbatimElevation: 537 m; verbatimLatitude: 21°7.404'N; verbatimLongitude: 107°8.706'E; verbatimCoordinateSystem: WGS84; eventDate: 16 March 2024; eventRemarks: collected by Ngo N.H, Do Q.H., and Nguyen N.T.; individualCount: 1; sex: male; lifeStage: adult; catalogNumber: IEBR A.6385; language: en; collectionCode: Amphibians; basisOfRecord: PreservedSpecimen; occurrenceID: 9786FF3A-6233-5445-8488-A95B369871F1
- b. scientificName: *Quasipaa acanthophora*; class: Amphibia; order: Anura; family: Dicroglossidae; genus: *Quasipaa*; specificEpithet: *acanthophora*; scientificNameAuthorship: Dubois and Ohler, 2009; country: Vietnam; countryCode: VN; stateProvince: Quang Ninh; locality: Dong Son-Ky Thuong Nature Reserve; verbatimElevation: 640 m; verbatimLatitude: 21°7.410'N; verbatimLongitude: 107°8.706'E; verbatimCoordinateSystem: WGS84; eventDate: 16 March 2024; eventRemarks: collected by Ngo N.H, Do Q.H., and Nguyen N.T.; individualCount: 1; sex: female; lifeStage: adult; catalogNumber: IEBR A.6386; language: en; collectionCode: Amphibians; basisOfRecord: PreservedSpecimen; occurrenceID: 404F9789-AD42-59AA-8123-569FB9D50308

Description

Two sequences (GenBank accession numbers [PV541279](#) and [PV541280](#)) of *Quasipaa* specimens from Quang Ninh Province were similar (99.2% and 99.5%, respectively) to the available sequence of *Q. acanthophora* (accession number [OP326694](#) from type locality, Mau Son Mountain, Lang Son Province) in GenBank.

Morphological characters of the specimens from Bac Giang, Lang Son and Quang Ninh Provinces agreed well with the descriptions of Dubois and Ohler (2009) and Pham et al. (2020): Size large, SVL 83.32–123.40 mm (103.41 ± 11.46 mm, $n = 10$), MW 31.10–45.50 mm (38.99 ± 4.17 mm, $n = 10$) and body mass (BM): 51.90–152.40 g, 110.52 ± 35.97 g, $n = 10$) in males; SVL 70.5–109.00 mm; 88.29 ± 12.97 mm, $n = 17$), MW 27.90–43.70 mm (35.09 ± 4.79 mm, $n = 17$) and body mass (BM 32.40–140.00 g, 73.39 ± 37.44 g, $n = 17$) in females; and SVL 42.3–69.93 mm (53.40 ± 9.08 mm, $n = 11$), MW 17.3–29.0 mm (21.51 ± 3.79 mm, $n = 11$) and body mass (BM): 5.3–31.3 g, 13.23 ± 8.46 g, $n = 11$) in subadults. There were strong positive correlations between the morphological measurements (SVL and MW: $r = 0.981$, $p < 0.001$; SVL and BM: $r = 0.940$; $p < 0.001$; MW and BM: $r = 0.936$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 1).

Morphological characteristics of two individuals collected from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province for taxonomic identification: A large frog,

habitus robust with enlarged head (HL 37.4 mm, HW 39.8 mm in male and HL 38.4 mm, HW 42.8 mm in female); snout round anteriorly in dorsal view, projecting beyond lower jaw; rostral length greater than eye diameter (RL 12.3 mm, ED 11.5 mm in male and RL 12.9 mm, ED 12.7 mm in female); nostrils oval, closer to eye than to the tip of snout (NS 6.9 mm, EN 5.7 mm in male and NS 6.7 mm, EN 6.3 mm in female); internarial distance wider than interorbital distance and upper eyelid width (IND 9.3 mm, IOD 7.5 mm, UEW 7.7 mm in male and IND 9.2 mm, IOD 8.1 mm, UEW 7.9 mm in female) (Table 1); tympanum indistinct; vomerine teeth in two oblique ridges; tongue cordiform, notched posteriorly; external vocal sac absent.




Figure 1. [doi](#)
Dispersion diagrams from Pearson's correlations between snout-vent length and mouth width (a), snout-vent length and body mass (b) and mouth width and body mass (c) of *Quasipaa acanthophora* in Vietnam. Triangles: Males; dots: Females; rectangles: Subadults.

Table 1.

Measurements (in mm) of *Quasipaa acanthophora* collected from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam.

	IEBR A.6385	IEBR A.6386	Dubois and Ohler (2009)
Sex	Male	Female	Male (holotype)
SVL	98.1	100.4	101.7
HL	37.4	38.4	38.5
HW	39.8	42.8	41.0
MN	31.8	35.7	32.2
MFE	27.7	29,5	25,1
MBE	18.6	19.4	17.2
RL	12.3	12.9	14.4
ED	11.5	12.7	11.2
UEW	7.7	7.9	9.0
IND	9.3	9.2	10.0
IOD	7.5	8.1	8.1
DAE	15.5	15.4	15.3
DPE	27.2	27.1	26.8
NS	6.9	6.7	7.8
EN	5.7	6.3	6.8
UAL	22.4	21.6	25.9
FAL	46.1	47.7	45.2
FeL	46.6	49.8	52.8
TbL	50.1	51.7	53.5
TbW	20.7	21.0	18.8
FoL	64.8	72.3	72.1
IMT	8.2	9.0	8.3
HL/SVL	0.38	0.38	0.38
HW/SVL	0.41	0.43	0.40
RL/SVL	0.13	0.13	0.14
HL/HW	0.94	0.90	0.94

	IEBR A.6385	IEBR A.6386	Dubois and Ohler (2009)
TbL/SVL	0.51	0.51	0.53
TbL/TbW	2.42	2.46	2.85
MFE/MBE	1.49	1.52	1.46
DAE/DBE	0.57	0.57	0.57

Fore-limb: arms short; upper arm length (UAL 22.4 mm in male, 21.6 in female), forearm length (FAL 46.2 mm in male and FAL 47.7 mm in female); relative finger lengths: II < I < IV < III; fingers free of webbing; sides of fingers II and III with narrow dermal ridge; tips of fingers swollen, not expanded; subarticular tubercles prominent, round, formula 1, 1, 2, 2; inner metatarsal tubercle round; outer metatarsal tubercle elongate; Finger I with nuptial pad in male (Table 1).

Hind-limb: tibia length longer than thigh length (FeL 46.6 mm, TbL 50.1 mm in male and FeL 49.8 mm, TbL 51.7 mm in female); tips of toes swollen, round; relative length of toes: I < II < V< III < IV; toes fully webbed to distal end of terminal phalanx; dermal ridge present on outer sides of toes I and V; subarticular tubercles prominent, oval, formula 1, 1, 2, 3, 2; inner metatarsal tubercle elongate; outer metatarsal tubercle absent (Table 1).

Skin texture in life. Dorsal skin shagreened with regularly disposed glandular warts on back; upper part of flanks shagreened with elongated glandular warts; supratympanic fold prominent, from eye to above arm; belly and ventral surface of thigh smooth. Male with nuptial spines present on prepollex and Finger I (two separate pads), fingers II and III and chest (Figs 2, 3).



Figure 2. [doi](#)
Quasipaa acanthophora (male, IEBR A.6385) from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam: **a** dorsal view; **b** ventral view.

Colouration in life. Iris pale copper, dorsum and upper part of flanks brown; lower part of flanks light brown with whitish-yellow marbling; throat and chest brown with whitish

marblings; dorsal surface of limbs brown with dark crossbars; belly immaculate white; toe webbing dark brown (Figs 2, 3).



Figure 3. [doi](#)

Quasipaa acanthophora (female, IEBR A.6386) from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam: **a** dorsal view; **b** ventral view.

Distribution

In Vietnam, this species was recorded in three provinces: Lang Son (Mau Son Mountain), Bac Giang (Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve) and Quang Ninh (Hai Ha District) (Dubois and Ohler 2009, Hecht et al. 2013, Pham et al. 2020). This is the first record of the species from Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve in Quang Ninh Province.

Ecology

In Dong Son–Ky Thuong Nature Reserve, frogs were observed between 19:00 h and 23:00 h in the headwaters of rocky streams. They were found in the water or on the ground of stream banks at elevations between 150 and 700 m a.s.l. The surrounding habitat was secondary forest of large, medium and small hardwoods mixed with shrubs and vines. Air temperatures at the sites ranged from 24.2–29.8°C and relative humidity was 72–86%.

Diet

For stomach flushing, 38 individuals (10 males, 17 females and 11 subadults) of *Q. acanthophora* were collected in Lang Son, Bac Giang and Quang Ninh Provinces. We identified 446 prey items of *Q. acanthophora*, including 138 prey items in males, 198 prey items in females and 110 prey items in subadults.

The number of prey items per individual was 1–29 items (average 11.74 ± 7.19 items, $n = 38$). Mean prey item length was 7.92 ± 7.84 mm (ranging from 1.80 to 85.00 mm, $n = 446$) and mean prey item width was 3.25 ± 2.69 mm (ranging from 0.50 to 30.00 mm, $n = 446$). The average volume per individual was 265.74 ± 616.03 mm³ (ranging from 7.06 to 3,286.31 mm³, $n = 38$) (Table 2).

Table 2.
Summary (Total, Mean, SD and range) of the width (W), length (L), volume (V) and prey item number (N) data for *Quasipaa acanthophora* in Vietnam (in mm for W and L; in mm³ for V).

	W	L	Prey item volume				N
			Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Total	
Total (n = 38)	3.25±2.69	7.92±7.84	19.95±44.72	1846.71±5034.34	265.74±616.03	2508.52±5562.11	11.74±7.19
	0.5–30	1.8–85	0.39–196.25	11.78–24963	7.06–3286.31	11.78–25509.45	1–29
Males (n = 10)	3.14±3.02	7.18±8.75	5.53±6.53	3358.63±7836.84	250.58±402.3	3929.6±7923.39	13.8±5.9
	0.5–30	1.8–85	0.39–18.84	52.99–24963	12.36–1275.47	185.46–25509.45	3–20
Females (n = 17)	3.58±2.88	8.57±6.96	20.87±46.03	1859.43±4590.24	376.33±865.26	2797.68±5700.89	11.65±8.5
	1–25	2–55	1.05–196.25	11.78–17989.58	7.06–3286.31	11.78–23004.16	1–29
Subadult (n = 11)	2.82±1.66	7.69±8.08	31.92±55.1	879.09±1302.95	187.4±239.37	1305.07±1567.34	10.01±5.56
	1–10	2–60	1.47–196.25	23.55–4590.24	9.95–865.26	149.22–5700.89	2–23

There was no positive correlation between the frog SVL and the minimum prey volume (Kendall’s tau b: tau = -0.085, P = 0.500), mean prey item volume (tau = -0.024, P = 0.839), maximum prey item volume (tau = -0.028, P = 0.808) and the total prey volume (tau = -0.04, P= 0.971) (Fig. 4).




Figure 4. [doi](#)
Relationships between the frog SVL (mm) and the minimum (a), maximum (b) and the mean (c) prey item volume and the total prey volume (d) of *Quasipaa acanthophora* in Vietnam. Triangles: Males; dots: Females; rectangles: Subadults; Vmin = minimum prey item volume (mm); Vmax = maximum prey item volume (mm); Vmean = mean prey item volume (mm); Vtotal = the total prey volume (mm).

We identified 27 categories in the stomachs of *Q. acanthophora*. Insects are the main food component of *Q. acanthophora*, with 21 categories (Blattidae, Carabidae, Elateridae, Hybosoridae, Hydraenidae, Scarabaeidae, Chrysomelidae, Lucanidae, Meloidae, Hydrophilidae, larvae of Coleoptera, other Coleoptera, Forficulidae, Tipulidae, Pentatomidae, Formicidae, Termitidae, Acrididae, Gryllidae, Tettigoniidae, Phasmatodea, Diapheromeridae) and other invertebrates (Myriapoda, Uropygi, Decapoda and Oligochaeta) (Table 3). The most number of prey items was Blattidae (46.64%, $n = 446$), followed by other Coleoptera (8.97%), Acrididae (5.61%) and Formicidae (4.93%), while the most frequently foraged prey group was also Blattidae (24.77%), followed by Formicidae (11.01%), other Coleoptera (10.09%), Elateridae and larvae Coleoptera (7.34%). In the comparisons by the IRI, Blattidae (32.67%), followed by Gryllidae (9.11 %), other Coleoptera (6.57%), Decapoda (5.72%) and Formicidae (5.61%) were evaluated as the most important diet groups of *Q. acanthophora* (Table 3).

Table 3.

Prey categories consumed by *Quasipaa acanthophora* in Vietnam ($n = 38$), (F) total frequency, (%F) relative frequency, (N) total abundance, (%N) relative abundance, (V) total volume (mm^3), (%V) relative volume; (IRI) importance index.

Prey taxa	F	%F	N	%N	V	%V	IRI
Decapoda	4.00	3.67	16.00	3.59	9429.03	9.89	5.72
Myriapoda	5.00	4.59	6.00	1.35	7343.36	7.70	4.55
Chilopoda	2.00	1.83	2.00	0.45	7190.60	7.54	3.28
Diplopoda	3.00	2.75	4.00	0.90	152.76	0.16	1.27
Oligochaeta	3.00	2.75	6.00	1.35	1066.95	1.12	1.74
Uropygi	1.00	0.92	5.00	1.12	9022.79	9.47	3.83
Thelyphonidae	1.00	0.92	5.00	1.12	9022.79	9.47	3.83
Blattodea	27.00	24.77	208.00	46.64	25352.07	26.60	32.67
Blattidae	27.00	24.77	208.00	46.64	25352.07	26.60	32.67
Coleoptera	41.00	37.61	114.00	25.56	8992.62	9.43	24.20
Carabidae	2.00	1.83	5.00	1.12	834.09	0.88	1.28
Elateridae	8.00	7.34	14.00	3.14	353.41	0.37	3.62
Hybosoridae	1.00	0.92	3.00	0.67	27.74	0.03	0.54
Hydraenidae	2.00	1.83	7.00	1.57	690.93	0.72	1.38
Scarabaeidae	2.00	1.83	2.00	0.45	1610.75	1.69	1.32
Chrysomelidae	3.00	2.75	3.00	0.67	200.96	0.21	1.21

Prey taxa	F	%F	N	%N	V	%V	IRI
Lucanidae	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.22	2289.58	2.40	1.18
Meloidae	2.00	1.83	18.00	4.04	1565.42	1.64	2.50
Hydrophilidae	1.00	0.92	9.00	2.02	389.10	0.41	1.11
Larvae	8.00	7.34	12.00	2.69	398.65	0.42	3.48
Other Coleoptera	11.00	10.09	40.00	8.97	631.99	0.66	6.57
Dermaptera	1.00	0.92	2.00	0.45	136.59	0.14	0.50
Forficulidae	1.00	0.92	2.00	0.45	136.59	0.14	0.50
Diptera	2.00	1.83	14.00	3.14	2364.55	2.48	2.48
Tipulidae	2.00	1.83	14.00	3.14	2364.55	2.48	2.48
Hemiptera	2.00	1.83	2.00	0.45	376.80	0.40	0.89
Pentatomidae	2.00	1.83	2.00	0.45	376.80	0.40	0.89
Hymenoptera	12.00	11.01	22.00	4.93	839.03	0.88	5.61
Formicidae	12.00	11.01	22.00	4.93	839.03	0.88	5.61
Isoptera	2.00	1.83	8.00	1.79	603.18	0.63	1.42
Termitidae	2.00	1.83	8.00	1.79	603.18	0.63	1.42
Orthoptera	6.00	5.50	37.00	8.30	27541.33	28.89	14.23
Acrididae	3.00	2.75	25.00	5.61	706.11	0.74	3.03
Gryllidae	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.22	24963.00	26.19	9.11
Tettigoniidae	2.00	1.83	11.00	2.47	1872.23	1.96	2.09
Phasmatodea	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.22	2093.33	2.20	1.11
Diapheromeridae	1.00	0.92	1.00	0.22	2093.33	2.20	1.11
Unidentified	2.00	1.83	5.00	1.12	162.23	0.17	1.04

Discussion

The diet of *Quasipaa acanthophora* mainly consisted of insects with nine orders (Blattodea, Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Isoptera, Orthoptera and Phasmatodea), which included 21 prey categories. Of which, Blattodea, Coleoptera, Orthoptera and Hymenoptera were the most important prey categories (IRI > 5%). Insects are also common food for some other amphibian species (viz. *Quasipaa verrucospinosa*, *Nanorana yunnanensis*, *Odorrana chapaensis*, *O. morafkai*, *Microhyla butleri* and *M. heymonsi*, *Polypedates megacephalus*, *Occidozyga martensii*) in Vietnam (Ngo et al. 2014, Pham and Nguyen 2018, Pham et al. 2019, Le et al. 2020, Pham et al. 2022, Pham et al. 2023, Pham et al. 2024). These are terrestrial prey and are commonly

found in the species' natural habitat (Pham et al. 2019, Sonephet et al. 2023, Pham et al. 2023). Other invertebrate groups, for example Decapoda, Myriapoda (Chilopoda, Diplopoda), Oligochaeta and Uropygi (Thelyphonidae) were also found in the stomach contents of the *Q. acanthophora*.

Ngo et al. (2014) reported the dietary composition of *Quasipaa verrucospinosa* from Central Vietnam. Both *Q. acanthophora* and *Q. verrucospinosa* occupy similar habitats, viz. the streams in evergreen forests with hardwoods, bamboo and shrub (Ngo et al. 2014 , Pham et al. 2020). Their diet compositions are similar to each other with prey items of Diplopoda, Chilopoda, Blattodea, Coleoptera, Dermaptera, Isoptera, Hemiptera, Hymenoptera, Orthoptera, Insect larvae, Phasmatodea, Diptera and Decapoda (Ngo et al. 2014). Nonetheless, Uropygi and Oligochaeta were found exclusively in the diet of *Q. acanthophora*, whereas Araneae, Ephemeroptera, Odonata, Isopoda, Amphibia (Anura), Collemboda, Neuroptera, Lumbriculida, Megadrili, Gastropoda (Mesogastropoda), Cypriniformes and Perciformes occurred only in the diet of *Q. verrucospinosa* (Ngo et al. 2014).

Both sexes had a diverse prey spectrum, comprising Blattidae, other Coleoptera, Formicidae, larvae Coleoptera, Elateridae, Acrididae, Diplopoda and Chrysomelidae. Gryllidae, Scarabaeidae, Pentatomidae, Tipulidae, Chilopoda, Hydraenidae and Hydrophilidae were found only in the stomachs of males, while Decapoda, Thelyphonidae, Meloidae, Tettigoniidae, Termitidae, Oligochaeta and Lucanidae were found only in the stomachs of females. Blattodea and other Coleoptera were the most important foods of both sexes (IRI > 5%) (Fig. 5). Blattodea was the most important food of both adults and subadults (IRI > 5%). The findings need to be confirmed in more in-depth studies with larger sample size.




Figure 5. [doi](#)
Importance indices (IRI) for prey categories consumed by males (blue) vs. females (red) of *Quasipaa acanthophora* in Vietnam. Acr = Acrididae, Bla = Blattidae, Car = Carabidae, Chi = Chilopoda, Chr = Chrysomelidae, Dec = Decapoda, Dia = Diapheromeridae, Dip = Diplopoda, Ela = Elateridae, Forf = Forficulidae, Form = Formicidae, Gry = Gryllidae, Hyb = Hybosoridae, Hydra = Hydraenidae, Hydro = Hydrophilidae, Lar = Larvae Coleoptera, Luc = Lucanidae, Mel = Meloidae, Oli = Oligochaeta, Oth = Other Coleoptera, Pen = Pentatomidae, Sca = Scarabaeidae, Ter = Termitidae, Tet = Tettigoniidae, Tip = Tipulidae, The = Thelyphonidae.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Directorates of the Tay Yen Tu and Dong Son-Ky Thuong Nature Reserves, Forest Protection Departments of Bac Giang, Lang Son and Quang Ninh Provinces for valuable support of our fieldwork. We thank Do Q.H., Ngo H.N., Phan T.Q. and Nguyen N.T. (Ha Noi) for assistance in the field and Hoang C.V. (Ha Noi) for assisted laboratory work. Many thanks to reviewers and the editor for their helpful comments on our manuscript. For the productive collaboration within joint research projects, we cordially thank H.H. Chu and A.H. Le (IB, Hanoi), as well as T. Pagel and C. Landsberg (Cologne Zoo). This research was supported by the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (Project code THTETN.03/25-26) and Stiftung Artenschutz (Species Conservation Foundation) (Project code 2023-71A).

References

- Beupre SJ, Jacobson ER, Lillywhite HB, Zamudio K (2004) Guidelines for use of live amphibians and reptiles in field and laboratory research. The Herpetological Animal Care and Use Committee (HACC) of the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Glen Allen, VA, USA, 43 pp..
- Brusca RC, Moore W, Shuster SM (2016) Invertebrates. Oxford University Press, 1104 pp. [ISBN 978-1-60535-375-3]
- Caldart VM, Iop S, Bertaso TR, Zanini C (2012) Feeding ecology of *Crossodactylus schmidtii* (Anura: Hylodidae) in southern Brazil. *Zoological Studies* 51 (4): 484-493.
- Dubois A, Ohler A (2009) A new species of the genus *Quasipaa* (Anura, Ranidae Dicroglossinae) from northern Vietnam. *Alytes* 27 (2): 49-61.
- Frost D (2025) Amphibian species of the world version 6.2, an online reference. <http://research.amnh.org/vz/herpetology/amphibia/>. Accessed on: 2025-1-16.
- Glaw F, Vences M (2007) A field guide to the amphibians and reptiles of Madagascar. 3rd Edition. FroschVerlag, Cologne, 496 pp.
- Griffiths RA (1986) Feeding niche overlap and food selection in smooth and palmate newts, *Triturus vulgaris* and *T. helveticus*, at a pond in mid-Wales. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 55: 201-214. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4702>
- Hecht LV, Pham TC, Nguyen TT, Nguyen QT, Bonkowski M, Ziegler T (2013) First report on the herpetofauna of Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve, northeastern Vietnam. *Biodiversity Journal* 4: 507-552.
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group (2017) *Quasipaa acanthophora*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2017: e.T48109439A54032034. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T48109439A54032034.en>. Accessed on: 2025-3-16.
- Johnson NF, Triplehorn CA (2005) Borror and Delong's introduction to the study of insects. Thomson Learning, Inc, 86 pp. [ISBN 9780030968358]
- Leclerc J, Courtois D (1993) A simple stomach-flushing method for ranid frogs. *Herpetological Review* 24: 142-143.
- Le TD, Rowley JJ, Tran AD, Hoang DH (2020) The diet of a forest-dependent frog species, *Odorrana morafkai* (Anura: Ranidae), in relation to habitat disturbance. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 41 (1): 29-41. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-20191171>

- Nakamura Y, Tominaga A (2021) Diet of the American Bullfrog *Lithobates catesbeianus* Naturalized on Okinawajima, Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan. *Current Herpetology* 40 (1): 40-53. <https://doi.org/10.5358/hsj.40.40>
- Naumann ID, Came PB, Lawrence JF, Nielsen ES, Spradbery JP, Taylor RW, Whitten MJ, Littlejohn M (1991) *The Insects of Australia*, Division of Entomology, CSIRO, Australia. 2nd Vol. Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, Australia, and Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1137 pp.
- Ngo VB, Lee YF, Ngo DC (2014) Variation in dietary composition of granular spiny frogs (*Quasipaa verrucospinosa*) in central Vietnam. *Herpetological Journal* 24 (4): 245-253.
- Pham TC, Do HQ, Ngo NH, Tran TT, Ziegler T, Nguyen QT (2020) First report on the anuran fauna of Hai Ha forest, Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam. *Check List* 16: 1025-1041. <https://doi.org/10.15560/16.4.1025>
- Pham TC, Hoang VC, Phan QT, Nguyen QT, Ziegler T (2022) Hidden in the jungle of Vietnam: a new species of *Quasipaa* (Amphibia, Anura, Dicroglossidae) from Ngoc Linh Mountain. *Zookeys* 1124: 23-42. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1124.89282>
- Pham TC, Nguyen TT (2024) Amphibians. In: Nguyen QT, et al. (Ed.) *Vietnam Red Data Book, Part 1. Animals*. Publishing House for Science and Technology, Ha Noi, 1250 pp. [In Vietnamese]. [ISBN 978-604-357-297-1].
- Pham VA, Nguyen QT (2018) Food spectrum of *Nanorana yunnanensis* (Anderson, 1879) from Son La Province. *VNU Journal of Science: Natural Sciences and Technology* 34 (3): 10-16. <https://doi.org/10.25073/2588-1140/vnunst.4747>
- Pham VA, Nguyen QT, Nguyen VH (2019) Diet of *Odorrana chapaensis* (Bourret, 1937) from Son La Province. *Academia Journal of Biology* 41 (3): 39-45. <https://doi.org/10.15625/2615-0923/v41n3.13713>
- Pham VA, Ngo DC, Nguyen QT, Ngo VB (2022) Diet of *Microhyla butleri* and *M. heymonsi* from Son La Province, Northwest, Vietnam. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 17 (1): 217-224.
- Pham VA, Ngo VB, Tran VT, Doan DL, Ngo DC, Nguyen QT (2023) Feeding ecology of the big headed treefrog, *Polypedates megacephalus* (Amphibia: Anura: Rhacophoridae) from northwestern Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 30 (3): 175-183. <https://doi.org/10.30906/1026-2296-2023-30-3-175-183>
- Pham VA, Duong TT, Phan TM, Tran TT, Nguyen TH, Pham TC, Le DM, Nguyen QT (2024) Feeding Ecology of *Occidozyga martensii* (Peters, 1867) from Vietnam. *Current Herpetology* 43 (2): 149-158. <https://doi.org/10.5358/hsj.43.149>
- Simmons JE (2002) Herpetological collecting and collections management. *Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Herpetological Circular* 31: 1-153.
- Simon F, Frati C, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P (1994) Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 87 (6): 651-701. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651>
- Solé M, Beckmann O, Pelz B, Kwet A, Engels W (2005) Stomach-flushing for diet analysis in anurans: an improved protocol evaluated in a case study in Araucaria forests, southern Brazil. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 40: 23-28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01650520400025704>
- Sonephet S, Hoang VN, Nguyen TT, Nguyen QT, Pham VA (2023) New record and dietary ecology of a poorly known frog, *Amolops shihaitaoi* Wang, Li, Du, Hou & Yu, 2022

(Amphibia, Anura, Ranidae), from Ha Giang Province, Vietnam. Biodiversity Data Journal 11: 104316. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.11.e104316>

- Thai TB (2003) Invertebrates. Education Publishing House, Hanoi.